

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of)
Erwin Paul Josef LEHRIEDER et al.) Confirmation No.: 6992
Application No.: 10/590,390) Group Art Unit: 3652
Filed: August 23, 2006) Examiner: Jonathan D. Snelting
For: DEVICES FOR TRANSPORTING)
REELS OF MATERIAL)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(b)(4), the materials set forth on the accompanying PTO/SB/08 form are brought to the attention of the Examiner. Copies of these non-U.S. patent documents are enclosed. A second Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is being filed concurrently herewith to provide an opportunity to bring these materials to the attention of the Examiner.

Applicant's principal representative in Germany, Herr Jürgen Stiel, house patent attorney for the assignee of the subject application, Koenig & Bauer Aktiengesellschaft, was advised, by a letter dated June 18, 2010, and sent by Mr. Rutger Jansen of the firm, CONTIWEB B.V., a Netherlands company having a place of business at 5831 AZ, Boxmeer, The Netherlands, that on February 4, 2004, a device was transported from Boxmeer, The Netherlands, to Bremen, Germany. The letter was accompanied by a bill of lading dated February 4, 2004, a non-dated photograph, and a non-dated drawing of what was asserted to be a reel transport system for delivery to R. H.

Donnelley in Dwight, Illinois, and intended for use with a MAN ROLAND LITHOMAN printing press.

The subject U.S. patent application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to a German application DE 10 2004 008 771.7 filed February 23, 2004. It is believed that the material submitted herewith is not prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). As is being discussed in the Third Amendment that is being filed concurrently with the filing of this SIDS and the accompanying Second RCE, it is also believed that the material, even if it were to be construed as prior art, which it is not believed to be, does not either by itself, or in combination with the prior art already of record in the subject application, render the claims, as currently amended by the Third Amendment, obvious to one of skill in the art.

The accompanying material is being submitted in accordance with conservative practice. As indicated above, it is not admitted as being prior art to the subject invention.

Its entry into the file of the subject application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Erwin Paul Josef LEHRIEDER et al.
Applicants

JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicants



Douglas R. Hanscom
Reg. No. 26,600

July 6, 2010
JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.
Customer No. 23294
P.O. Box 2266 Eads Station
Arlington, Virginia 22202
Phone: (703) 415-1500
Fax: (703) 415-1508
E-mail: mail@jonestullarcooper.com
Attorney Docket: W1.2305 PCT-US